EXHIBIT 8

```
NAAVROW1
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
      -----x
 2
3
     ULKU ROWE,
 4
                    Plaintiff,
5
                                             19 Civ. 8655 (JHR)
                v.
6
     GOOGLE LLC,
 7
                    Defendant.
                                             Trial
8
                                              New York, N.Y.
9
                                              October 10, 2023
                                              10:15 a.m.
10
     Before:
11
                        HON. JENNIFER H. REARDEN,
12
                                              District Judge
13
                                               -and a jury-
14
                               APPEARANCES
15
     OUTTEN & GOLDEN, LLP
          Attorneys for Plaintiff
     BY: CARA E. GREENE
16
          GREGORY S. CHIARELLO
17
          SHIRA Z. GELFAND
     PAUL HASTINGS LLP
18
          Attorneys for Defendant
19
     BY: KENNETH W. GAGE
          SARA B. TOMEZSKO
20
     Also Present: Vincent Yang, Paralegal (Outten & Golden)
21
                    Andrew Velazquez, Google Rep.
                     Jean Gutierrez, Paralegal (Paul Hastings)
22
23
24
25
```

NAAVROW1

AFTERNOON SESSION 2 3:00 P.M.

(A jury of eight was impaneled and sworn)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

All right. I want to give some quick rulings before the jury comes in.

All right. First, the question about Ms. Rowe's testimony and whether she can testify about her own involvement. Ms. Greene, I think you said, not having the benefit of a transcript, her own involvement in protests concerning sexual harassment and/or the resulting 2018 walkout, was that a question?

MS. GREENE: It's with respect to her participation in the walkout, which also included issues of pay equity, her own participation, and the motivation then for her complaint six days later.

THE COURT: All right. I view that as covered by Judge Schofield's ruling on defendant's second motion in limine, and provided that the probative value, if any, is likely to confuse a jury in a way that would prejudice the defendant. So no, she may not testify about that.

All right. As for the --

MS. GREENE: Your Honor --

THE COURT: That's my ruling. Thank you.

I'm moving on to the documents now.